

HELLER EHRMAN FEES
Pacific Gas & Electric, Case No. 01-30923 DM
BILLING CATEGORY: FILED RATE CASE (Heller Category #64)

UST Analysis - June 12, 2003

CURRENT BILLING PERIOD
Covering Period - December, 2002 - March, 2003

		Blended		
ATTORNEYS		Hours	Fees	Hourly Rate
Fiala	Shareholder	367.0	\$184,982	\$504
Collanton	Associate	296.6	100,275	\$338
Sheen	Associate	215.7	70,687	\$328
Lee	Associate	207.1	44,632	\$216
Ware	Associate	193.1	65,088	\$337
Cole	Shareholder	155.5	62,134	\$400
Jaffe	Associate	148.8	47,297	\$318
Marsh	Associate	127.2	47,270	\$372
Reiber	Shareholder	119.8	46,673	\$390
Rosenthal	Associate	89.1	29,511	\$331
Jolish	Associate	63.3	20,430	\$323
Hayden	Shareholder	25.5	11,100	\$435
Popofsky	Shareholder	5.3	3,257	\$615
Gould	Associate	1.8	398	\$221
Total, Attorneys		2,015.8	\$733,735	\$364

PARALEGALS/OTHER NON-ATTORNEY

Rose	Lit Para	609.0	94,616	\$155
Black	Pr Support	372.8	62,770	\$168
Stone	Lit Para	212.7	25,953	\$122
Luster	Sr.Lit Para	142.7	23,489	\$165
Moore	Case Asst	229.2	17,511	\$76
Suess	Pr Support	14.8	2,871	\$194
Temporary	Paralegal	29.7	2,376	\$80
Balmori	Case Asst	22.5	2,340	\$104
Contino	Lit Para	9.3	1,376	\$148
Simcox	Law Lib	2.1	297	\$141
Alderman	Law Lib	1.0	144	\$144
Nicholson	Staff	0.6	68	\$113
Lefko	Law Lib	0.3	43	\$143
Total, Non-Attorneys		1,646.7	\$233,855	\$142
		3,662.5	\$967,590	

CUMULATIVE HOURS/FEES FOR CATEGORY

Billing Period:	Hours	Fees	% of Period to Total
April, 2001 - July, 2001	1,455.1	\$351,304	6.53%
August, 2001 - November, 2001	2,112.4	528,847	9.84%
December, 2001 - March, 2002	1,816.6	499,650	9.29%
April, 2002 - July, 2002	3,978.6	1,113,815	20.72%
August, 2002 - November, 2002	6,530.1	1,914,579	35.61%
@ Current(Dec.,2002 - March, 2003)	3,662.5	967,590	18.00%
	19,555.3	\$5,375,785	100.00%

Federal Filed Rate Case
<p>Prior to filing bankruptcy, PG&E sued the CPUC to force the CPUC to allow PG&E to recove its escalating wholesale costs through retail rates pursuant to the federal "filed rate doctrine". On 5/2/01, the case was dismissed on ripeness grounds. Heller refiled the suit on PG&E's behalf on 8/6/01 in the USDC, Northern Dist. Of Ca. PG&E's filed rate case has been deemed a related case to PG&E's pending appeal of Judge Montali's order denying PG&E's request for relief from the CPUC's 3/01 "retroactive accounting order". On 7/25/02, USDC Judge Walker denied various parties' motions to dismiss and/or for summary judgment. ("7/25/02 DC Order"). A pre-trial and trial schedule was set. [Discovery cut-off was 12/20/02 with the trial to commence on 6/9/03. The trial has been stayed.]</p> <p>@@ On 8/23/02, the CPUC et al appealed the 7/25/02 DC Order to the Ninth Circuit & on 9/4/02 filed a motion in District Court to stay proceedings pending their appeal. Judge Walker denied the stay in District Court. @@ On 10/23/02, the CPUC et al filed a motion in the Ninth Circuit to stay proceedings in the District Court pending their Ninth Circuit Appeal. On 11/21/02, the Ninth Circuit granted the CPUC's motion. Oral argument was heard on 3/10/03. As of 6/13/03, no decision has been issued.</p>